1		
2	Councilmember David Grosso	Councilmember Brianne K. Nadeau
4		
5	Councilmember Elissa Silverman	Councilmember Mary M. Cheh
6 7		
8		
9 10	A PROPOSED RI	FSOLUTION
11	AT KOT OSED KI	2502011010
12 13		
14 15	IN THE COUNCIL OF THE D	ISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
16		
17 18		
19 20	To declare the sense of the Council opposing plans to widen I-495, I-270, and MD 295 in Maryland.	
21	•	
22	RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this	
23	resolution may be cited as the "Sense of the Council Opposing Maryland Highway Widening in	
24	Favor of Alternatives".	
25	Sec. 2. The Council finds that:	
26	(1) In September 2017, Maryland Governor Larry Hogan announced a proposed	
27	widening of I-495, I-270, and the Baltimore-Washington Parkway (MD 295) as part of a "Traffic	
28	Relief Plan."	
29	(2) This extensive highway widening plan v	vas chosen over other solutions proposed by
30	Maryland DOT, including rail or dedicated bus infrastructure.	

(3) Numerous studies and decades of real-world experience across the world have shown that adding lanes to highways does little to reduce congestion. When roads are widened, more drivers are encouraged to use them, and the end result is the same traffic problem as before. This phenomenon of "induced demand" is thoroughly documented and commonly accepted among transportation experts. Even Virginia's efforts to add tolls to I-66 and I-495 while widening them have had few impacts on overall congestion.

- (4) Though divided into separate states and counties, transportation policy has region-wide effects. The additional trips that are induced by widened highways in Maryland and Virginia have direct and adverse consequences on District of Columbia residents and infrastructure.
- (5) Each additional vehicle entering the District imposes a high cost through additional spending on highway infrastructure and public services. The District of Columbia is committing considerable resources towards bringing its roads into a state of good repair, and the addition of even more vehicle traffic from neighboring states and counties will require the District to spend more of its budget on road maintenance, despite not having an active say in the source of new traffic.
- (6) The District of Columbia and Mayor Muriel Bowser have committed to a Vision Zero policy to eliminate traffic fatalities on DC roads by 2024. One of the largest contributing factors to safer streets is lower motor vehicle use. Continued expansion of road infrastructure will adversely impact DC's progress towards this Vision Zero goal and actively put District residents at risk.
- (7) The District of Columbia and the State of Maryland share a common goal of drastically reducing greenhouse gas emissions in an attempt to combat global climate change.

- Maryland has committed to a goal of reducing its carbon emissions by 25 percent by 2020.
- Prince George's County has set a goal to reduce greenhouse emissions by 80 percent by 2050.
- Montgomery County has pledged to reduce 100 percent of greenhouse emissions by 2035. A
- 57 widening of highways in the State of Maryland and Montgomery and Prince George's Counties
- will make those ambitious and necessary climate goals more challenging.
- 59 (8) Many highly-trafficked commuter routes leading off of I-495 go through DC's
- 60 minority and low-income communities that have consistently been the victims of environmental
- 61 injustice. An increase in commuter volumes through DC's avenues will mean a continuation of
- 62 the epidemic of asthma and other health concerns that have stricken many of our most vulnerable
- residents.
- Sec. 3. It is the sense of the Council that Governor Larry Hogan and the State of
- 65 Maryland should reconsider its plans to widen roadways that feed into the District of Columbia
- and should instead pursue transit alternatives that will advance DC and Maryland's shared goals
- 67 of reducing traffic fatalities, greenhouse gas emissions, and vehicle congestion. The Mayor
- should utilize her role in regional dialog between states to make clear the impact that Maryland's
- 69 plan would have on the District of Columbia.
- Sec. 4. The Council shall transmit a copy of this resolution, upon its adoption, to the
- 71 Mayor of the District of Columbia, the Governor of the State of Maryland, and the County
- 72 Executives of Montgomery and Prince George's counties.
- 73 Sec. 5. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon the first date of publication in
- 74 the District of Columbia Register.